



Journal

Emerging Microbes & Infections >

Volume 9, 2020 - Issue 1



Open access

5,062 0

Views

CrossRef citations to date

79

Altmetric



Commentary

No credible evidence supporting claims of the laboratory engineering of SARS-CoV-2

Shan-Lu Liu , Linda J. Saif, Susan R. Weiss  & Lishan Su 

Pages 505-507 | Received 13 Feb 2020, Accepted 13 Feb 2020, Published online: 26 Feb 2020

 Download citation  <https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2020.1733440> Full Article Figures & data References Citations Metrics Licensing PDF

Click to increase image size

No credible evidence supporting claims of the laboratory engineering of SARS-CoV-2

Shan-Lu Liu^{a,b,c,d}, Linda J. Saif^{d,e}, Susan R. Weiss^f and Lishan Su^g

^aCenter for Retrovirus Research, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA; ^bDepartment of Veterinary Biosciences, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA; ^cDepartment of Microbial Infection and Immunity, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA; ^dViruses and Emerging Pathogens Program, Infectious Diseases Institute, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA; ^eFood Animal Health Research Program, Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center, CFAES, Department of Veterinary Preventive Medicine, The Ohio State University, Wooster, OH, USA; ^fDepartment of Microbiology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ^gLineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

ARTICLE HISTORY Received 13 February 2020; Accepted 13 February 2020

The emergence and outbreak of a newly discovered acute respiratory disease in Wuhan, China, has affected greater than 40,000 people, and killed more than 1,000 as of Feb. 10, 2020. A new human coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2, was quickly identified, and the associated disease is now referred to as coronavirus disease discovered in 2019 (COVID-19) (<https://globalbiodefense.com/novel-coronavirus-covid-19-portal/>).

According to what has been reported [1–3], COVID-2019 seems to have similar clinical manifestations to that of the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) caused by SARS-CoV. The SARS-CoV-2 genome sequence also has ~80% identity with SARS-CoV, but it is most similar to some bat beta-coronaviruses, with the highest being >96% identity [4,5].

Currently, there are speculations, rumours and conspiracy theories that SARS-CoV-2 is of laboratory origin. Some people have alleged that the human SARS-CoV-2 was leaked directly from a laboratory in Wuhan where a bat CoV (RaTG13) was recently reported, which shared ~96% homology with the SARS-CoV-2 [4]. However, as we know, the human SARS-CoV and intermediate host palm civet SARS-like CoV shared 99.8% homology, with a total of 202 single-nucleotide (nt) variations (SNVs) identified across the genome [6]. Given that there are greater than 1,100 nt differences between the human SARS-CoV-2 and the bat RaTG13-CoV [4], which are distributed throughout the genome in a naturally occurring pattern following the evolutionary characteristics typical of CoVs, it is highly unlikely that RaTG13 CoV is the immediate source of SARS-CoV-2. The absence of a logical targeted pattern in the new viral sequences and a close relative in a wildlife species (bats) are the most revealing signs that SARS-CoV-2 evolved by natural evolution. A search for an intermediate animal

host between bats and humans is needed to identify animal CoVs more closely related to human SARS-CoV-2. There is speculation that pangolins might carry CoVs closely related to SARS-CoV-2, but the data to substantiate this is not yet published (<https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-020-00364-2>).

Another claim in Chinese social media points to a Nature Medicine paper published in 2015 [7], which reports the construction of a chimeric CoV with a bat CoV S gene (SHC014) in the backbone of a SARS CoV that has adapted to infect mice (MA15) and is capable of infecting human cells [8]. However, this claim lacks any scientific basis and must be discounted because of significant divergence in the genetic sequence of this construct with the new SARS-CoV-2 (>5,000 nucleotides).

The mouse-adapted SARS virus (MA15) [9] was generated by serial passage of an infectious wildtype SARS CoV clone in the respiratory tract of BALB/c mice. After 15 passages in mice, the SARS-CoV gained elevated replication and lung pathogenesis in aged mice (hence M15), due to six coding genetic mutations associated with mouse adaptation. It is likely that MA15 is highly attenuated to replicate in human cells or patients due to the mouse adaptation.

It was proposed that the S gene from bat-derived CoV, unlike that from human patients- or civets-derived viruses, was unable to use human ACE2 as a receptor for entry into human cells [10,11]. Civets were proposed to be an intermediate host of the bat-CoVs, capable of spreading SARS CoV to humans [6,12]. However, in 2013 several novel bat coronaviruses were isolated from Chinese horseshoe bats and the bat SARS-like or SL-CoV-WIV1 was able to use ACE2 from humans, civets and Chinese horseshoe bats for entry [8]. Combined with evolutionary

CONTACT Shan-Lu Liu  Liu.6244@osu.edu; Lishan Su  lsu@med.unc.edu

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group, on behalf of Shanghai Shangyixun Cultural Communication Co., Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The emergence and outbreak of a newly discovered acute respiratory disease in Wuhan, China, has affected greater than 40,000 people, and killed more than 1,000 as of Feb. 10, 2020. A new human coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2, was quickly identified, and the associated disease is now referred to as coronavirus disease discovered in 2019 (COVID-19) (<https://globalbiodefense.com/novel-coronavirus-covid-19-portal/>).

According to what has been reported [1–3], COVID-2019 seems to have similar clinical manifestations to that of the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) caused by SARS-CoV. The SARS-CoV-2 genome sequence also has ~80% identity with SARS-CoV, but it is most similar to some bat beta-coronaviruses, with the highest being >96% identity [4,5].

Currently, there are speculations, rumours and conspiracy theories that SARS-CoV-2 is of laboratory origin. Some people have alleged that the human SARS-CoV-2 was leaked directly from a laboratory in Wuhan where a bat CoV (RaTG13) was recently reported, which shared ~96% homology with the SARS-CoV-2 [4]. However, as we know, the human SARS-CoV and intermediate host palm civet SARS-like CoV shared 99.8% homology, with a total of 202 single-nucleotide (nt) variations (SNVs) identified across the genome [6]. Given that there are greater than 1,100 nt differences between the human SARS-CoV-2 and the bat RaTG13-CoV [4], which are distributed throughout the genome in a naturally occurring pattern following the evolutionary characteristics typical of CoVs, it is highly unlikely that RaTG13 CoV is the immediate source of SARS-CoV-2. The absence of a logical targeted pattern in the new viral sequences and a close relative in a wildlife species (bats) are the most revealing signs that SARS-CoV-2 evolved by natural evolution. A search for an intermediate animal host between bats and humans is needed to identify animal CoVs more closely related to human SARS-CoV-2. There is speculation that pangolins might carry CoVs closely related to SARS-CoV-2, but the data to substantiate this is not yet published (<https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-020-00364-2>).

Another claim in Chinese social media points to a Nature Medicine paper published in 2015 [7], which reports the construction of a chimeric CoV with a bat CoV S gene (SHC014) in the backbone of a SARS CoV that has adapted to infect mice (MA15) and is capable of infecting human cells [8]. However, this claim lacks any scientific basis and must be discounted because of significant divergence in the genetic sequence of this construct with the new SARS-CoV-2 (>5,000 nucleotides).

The mouse-adapted SARS virus (MA15) [9] was generated by serial passage of an infectious wildtype SARS CoV clone in the respiratory tract of BALB/c mice. After 15

passages in mice, the SARS-CoV gained elevated replication and lung pathogenesis in aged mice (hence M15), due to six coding genetic mutations associated with mouse adaptation. It is likely that MA15 is highly attenuated to replicate in human cells or patients due to the mouse adaptation.

It was proposed that the S gene from bat-derived CoV, unlike that from human patients- or civets-derived viruses, was unable to use human ACE2 as a receptor for entry into human cells [10,11]. Civets were proposed to be an intermediate host of the bat-CoVs, capable of spreading SARS CoV to humans [6,12]. However, in 2013 several novel bat coronaviruses were isolated from Chinese horseshoe bats and the bat SARS-like or SL-CoV-WIV1 was able to use ACE2 from humans, civets and Chinese horseshoe bats for entry [8]. Combined with evolutionary evidence that the bat ACE2 gene has been positively selected at the same contact sites as the human ACE2 gene for interacting with SARS CoV [13], it was proposed that an intermediate host may not be necessary and that some bat SL-CoVs may be able to directly infect human hosts. To directly address this possibility, the exact S gene from bat coronavirus SL-SHC014 was synthesized and used to generate a chimeric virus in the mouse adapted MA15 SARS-CoV backbone. The resultant SL-SHC014-MA15 virus could indeed efficiently use human ACE2 and replicate in primary human airway cells to similar titres as epidemic strains of SARS-CoV. While SL-SHC014-MA15 can replicate efficiently in young and aged mouse lungs, infection was attenuated, and less virus antigen was present in the airway epithelium as compared to SARS MA15, which causes lethal outcomes in aged mice [7].

Due to the elevated pathogenic activity of the SHC014-MA15 chimeric virus relative to MA15 chimeric virus with the original human SARS S gene in mice, such experiments with SL-SHC014-MA15 chimeric virus were later restricted as gain of function (GOF) studies under the US government-mandated pause policy (<https://www.nih.gov/about-nih/who-we-are/nih-director/statements/nih-lifts-funding-pause-gain-function-research>). The current COVID-2019 epidemic has restarted the debate over the risks of constructing such viruses that could have pandemic potential, irrespective of the finding that these bat CoVs already exist in nature. Regardless, upon careful phylogenetic analyses by multiple international groups [5,14], the SARS-CoV-2 is undoubtedly distinct from SL-SHC014-MA15, with

>6,000 nucleotide differences across the whole genome. Therefore, once again there is no credible evidence to support the claim that the SARS-CoV-2 is derived from the chimeric SL-SHC014-MA15 virus.

There are also rumours that the SARS-CoV-2 was artificially, or intentionally, made by humans in the lab, and this is highlighted in one manuscript submitted to BioRxiv (a manuscript sharing site prior to any peer review), claiming that SARS-CoV-2 has HIV sequence in it and was thus likely generated in the laboratory. In a rebuttal paper led by an HIV-1 virologist Dr. Feng Gao, they used careful bioinformatics analyses to demonstrate that the original claim of multiple HIV insertions into the SARS-CoV-2 is not HIV-1 specific but random [15]. Because of the many concerns raised by the international community, the authors who made the initial claim have already withdrawn this report.

Evolution is stepwise and accrues mutations gradually over time, whereas synthetic constructs would typically use a known backbone and introduce logical or targeted changes instead of the randomly occurring mutations that are present in naturally isolated viruses such as bat CoV RaTG13. In our view, there is currently no credible evidence to support the claim that SARS-CoV-2 originated from a laboratory-engineered CoV. It is more likely that SARS-CoV-2 is a recombinant CoV generated in nature between a bat CoV and another coronavirus in an intermediate animal host. More studies are needed to explore this possibility and resolve the natural origin of SARS-CoV-2. We should emphasize that, although SARS-CoV-2 shows no evidence of laboratory origin, viruses with such great public health threats must be handled properly in the laboratory and also properly regulated by the scientific community and governments.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

ORCID

Susan R. Weiss <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8155-4528>

References

1. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA*. 2020 Feb 7. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.1585 [Crossref], [Google Scholar]
2. Chang LM, Wei L, et al. Epidemiologic and clinical characteristics of novel coronavirus infections involving 13 patients outside Wuhan, China. *JAMA*. 2020 Feb 7. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.1623 [Crossref], [Google Scholar]
3. Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *Lancet*. 2020 Jan 30;395(10223):507–513. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30211-7 [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
4. Zhou P, Yang XL, Wang XG, et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. *Nature*. 2020 Feb 3. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7 [Crossref], [Google Scholar]
5. Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, et al. A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. *N Engl J Med*. 2020 Jan 24;382(8):727–733. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2001017 [Crossref], [Google Scholar]
6. Song HD, Tu CC, Zhang GW, et al. Cross-host evolution of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus in palm civet and human. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2005 Feb 15;102(7):2430–2435. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0409608102 [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
7. Menachery VD, Yount Jr. BL, Debbink K, et al. A SARS-like cluster of circulating bat coronaviruses shows potential for human emergence. *Nat Med*. 2015

Dec;21(12):1508–1513. doi: 10.1038/nm.3985 [[Crossref](#)], [[Web of Science ®](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)]

8. Ge XY, Li JL, Yang XL, et al. Isolation and characterization of a bat SARS-like coronavirus that uses the ACE2 receptor. *Nature*. 2013 Nov 28;503(7477):535–538. doi: 10.1038/nature12711 [[Crossref](#)], [[Web of Science ®](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)]
9. Roberts A, Deming D, Paddock CD, et al. A mouse-adapted SARS-coronavirus causes disease and mortality in BALB/c mice. *PLoS Pathog*. 2007 Jan;3(1):e5. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.0030005 [[Crossref](#)], [[Web of Science ®](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)]
10. Li F, Li W, Farzan M, et al. Structure of SARS coronavirus spike receptor-binding domain complexed with receptor. *Science*. 2005 Sep 16;309(5742):1864–1868. doi: 10.1126/science.1116480 [[Crossref](#)], [[Web of Science ®](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)]
11. Li W, Moore MJ, Vasilieva N, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 is a functional receptor for the SARS coronavirus. *Nature*. 2003 Nov 27;426(6965):450–454. doi: 10.1038/nature02145 [[Crossref](#)], [[Web of Science ®](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)]
12. Guan Y, Zheng BJ, He YQ, et al. Isolation and characterization of viruses related to the SARS coronavirus from animals in southern China. *Science*. 2003 Oct 10;302(5643):276–278. doi: 10.1126/science.1087139 [[Crossref](#)], [[Web of Science ®](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)]
13. Demogines A, Farzan M, Sawyer SL. Evidence for ACE2-utilizing coronaviruses (CoVs) related to severe acute respiratory syndrome CoV in bats. *J Virol*. 2012 Jun;86(11):6350–6353. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00311-12 [[Crossref](#)], [[Web of Science ®](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)]
14. Wu F, Zhao S, Yu B, et al. A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China. *Nature*. 2020 Feb 3. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2008-3 [[Crossref](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)]

15. Xiao C, Li X, Liu S, et al. HIV-1 did not contribute to the 2019-nCoV genome. *Emerg Microbes Infect.* 2020 Dec;9(1):378–381. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2020.1727299 [Taylor & Francis Online], [Google Scholar]



People also read

Rapid communication

Emerging novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV)—current scenario, evolutionary perspective based on genome analysis and recent developments >

Yashpal Singh Malik et al.

Veterinary Quarterly
Volume 40, 2020 - Issue 1

Published online: 27 Feb 2020



Article

RNA based mNGS approach identifies a novel human coronavirus from two individual pneumonia cases in 2019 Wuhan outbreak >

Liangjun Chen et al.

Emerging Microbes & Infections
Volume 9, 2020 - Issue 1

Published online: 5 Feb 2020



Letter

Potent binding of 2019 novel coronavirus spike protein by a SARS coronavirus-specific human monoclonal

antibody >

Xiaolong Tian et al.

Emerging Microbes & Infections
Volume 9, 2020 - Issue 1

Published online: 17 Feb 2020



Article

Molecular and serological investigation of 2019-nCoV infected patients: implication of multiple shedding routes >

Wei Zhang et al.

Emerging Microbes & Infections
Volume 9, 2020 - Issue 1

Published online: 17 Feb 2020



Article commentary

An emerging coronavirus causing pneumonia outbreak in Wuhan, China: calling for developing therapeutic and prophylactic strategies >

Shibo Jiang et al.

Emerging Microbes & Infections
Volume 9, 2020 - Issue 1

Published online: 31 Jan 2020



Article

Genomic characterization of the 2019 novel human-pathogenic coronavirus isolated from a patient with atypical pneumonia

[after visiting Wuhan >](#)

Jasper Fuk-Woo Chan et al.

Emerging Microbes & Infections
Volume 9, 2020 - Issue 1

Published online: 28 Jan 2020



Article commentary

[HIV-1 did not contribute to the 2019-nCoV genome >](#)

Chuan Xiao et al.

Emerging Microbes & Infections
Volume 9, 2020 - Issue 1

Published online: 14 Feb 2020



Letter

[Detectable 2019-nCoV viral RNA in blood is a strong indicator for the further clinical severity >](#)

Weilie Chen et al.

Emerging Microbes & Infections
Volume 9, 2020 - Issue 1

Published online: 26 Feb 2020



Information for

[Authors](#)

[Editors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

Open access

[Overview](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Cogent OA](#)

Help and info

[Help & contact](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[Commercial services](#)

[All journals](#)

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 [Sign me up](#)

  

 

Copyright © 2020 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#) [Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#) [Accessibility](#)



Registered in England & Wales No. 3099067
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG